

CORDEL Activities and Future

CORDEL Vision and Action Plan



- CORDEL represents "utilities and vendors" to promote "standardization" by proactive interactions with MDEP and other regulatory initiatives. Task Forces have been formed to support and advance the following areas:
 - Codes and Standards -
 - Licensing and Permitting -
 - IAEA Safety Standards -
 - Design Change Management -
 - Probabilistic Safety Goals -
 - SMRs

WNA Board

Board Mentor for CORDEL is Aris Candris, CEO of Westinghouse



CORDEL Group

(representatives of 48 companies and organisations)

Steering Committee

Chairman, Vice Chairman
12-15 Representatives of Vendors and Utilities

Task Forces

Design Change Management

Licensing & Permitting

Codes & Standards

IAEA Safety Standards revision Probabilisitic Safety Goals

Others

TF Chairs: experts from WNA member companies

Action Plan 2011



- Interaction with MDEP & other regulatory initiatives
- IAEA safety standards: -(additional presentation today)*
 - ➤ Globalization implies greater use of IAEA Safety Standards and more effective IAEA Safety Standards
 - ➤ To be successful requires much greater input from industry than in the past Review Safety Classification of SSC (DS 367)*
- Standards and codes TF: (presented by Nawal Prinja on Sept 15)
 - You asked us to look at this
 - Cooperate with SDOs of various countries in convergence of industry codes

CORDEL - Action Plan 2011



Probabilistic safety goals TF:

- MDEP recognized importance of this issue
- Great relevance to industry
- Wide variety of PSA methods hinder internationalization
- TF will comment on and input to IAEA activities
- Licensing and permitting TF (additional presentation today)
 - how regulatory regimes can best reduce project risk.
 - aspects of the regulatory regime better suited for multinational approach

CORDEL - Action Plan 2011



> SMRs TF:

- > encouraging commercial development
- Identifying regulatory practices that hinder international deployment
- Design Change Management Task Force:
 - Standardization needs to be maintained throughout life
 - Requires a fleet oversight who does this?
 - Needs a fleet design authority? Fleet configuration control?
 - > Across country boundaries?

Action Plan 2011 – Design Change Management



- Fukushima event and related reviews have demonstrated that "design authority" and design change management are not effective Mark 1 Containment Vent System modifications and implementation across fleet, a large number of issues/deficiencies identified by WANO SOER ++
- ➤ Unique Opportunity to examine current gaps, failures, root causes and to institute effective "execution" of design authority and design change management (plant life 60-80 years).
- CORDEL DCM Task Force reviewing industry practices (operators, vendors and owner groups) to propose options for effective implementation.

Action Plan 2011 – Design Change Management



- ➤ For example CORDEL sent a survey request to all owner groups to date 5 large owner groups have responded :
 - FROG (Framatome Owners Group)
 - OKD Gidropress (Russian NSSS vendor)
 - COG (Candu Owners Group)
 - PWR Owners Group (USA and International)
 - JPOG (Japan PWR Owners Group)
- ➤ Interim results identified interesting insights and some "best practices" to execute "design authority"

Action Plan 2011 – Design Change Management



Deliverables and Target Completion Dates:

- Complete surveys and reviews for the "best in class" practices among the reactor licensees, vendors and owner groups to execute "design authority" that maintains or improves NPP safety over its entire lifetime.
 - TCD. Q4/2011
- ➤ Prepare a report for implementing an "effective change management and design authority" taking into account:
 - Roles of National Regulators, International agencies
 - Roles of Licensee, vendors, owner groups and the public
 - Fukushima and related review lessons learned
 - Potential lessons learned from related industries such as aviation
 - TCD Q1/2012

Cordel - Future Activities



- Digital I&C
 - Review vendor experience in meeting different regulatory regime expectations
 - Contribute to MDEP's work on I&C
 - Disseminate MDEP positions amongst members
- Comment on regulatory views on post-Fukushima actions concerning new build
 - Comment on any variation of regulatory views
- ➤ TF will review probabilistic methods for demonstrating seismic adequacy



Visit us at www.world-nuclear.org